

ON NILARY GROUP RINGS

O. A. AL-MALLAH AND H. M. AL-NOGHASHI

ABSTRACT. In a ring A an ideal I is called (principally) nilary if for any two (principal) ideals V, W in A with $VW \subseteq I$, then either $V^n \subseteq I$ or $W^m \subseteq I$, for some positive integers m and n depending on V and W ; a ring A is called (principally) nilary if the zero ideal is a (principally) nilary ideal. Let G be a group and A be a ring with unity. For the group ring $A[G]$, we proved: (1) if $A[G]$ is a (p-) nilary ring, then the ring A is a (p-) nilary ring; (2) if A is a (p-)nilary ring, G is a finite p -group and p is nilpotent in A , then $A[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring; (3) if A is a prime ring, $\sigma(G) = G$ is a p -group, and $p = 0$ in A , then $A[G]$ is a p-nilary ring; (4) if $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary, then either G is a prime group or $|H|$ is nilpotent in A for any nontrivial finite normal subgroup H of G ; (5) if $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary and G be a torsion Dedekind group, then G is a p -group; (6) let $\text{char}(F) = 0$, then G is a prime group iff $F[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring iff $F[G]$ is a prime ring; (7) let $\text{char}(F) = p$, if G is a finite (locally normal) p -group, then the group algebra $F[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring; (8) let A be a semiprime ring, if G is a prime group or $\text{char}(A) = 0$, then $A[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring iff $A[G]$ is a prime ring; (12) let G be finite, if $A[G]$ is a right or left primary ring, then G is a p -group and p is nilpotent in A ; (13) if $A[G]$ is a (p-)right or (p-)left primary ring, then: either G is a prime group or $\sigma(G)$ is a p -group and p is nilpotent in A .

INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with unity and $1 \neq 0$ unless indicated otherwise, but it is not necessarily commutative. Also we will consider all groups are non-trivial unless indicated otherwise.

If A is a ring and G is a group, $A[G]$ will denote the group ring of G over A . A typical element of $A[G]$ is a finite formal sum

$$\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g, \quad a_g \in A.$$

The elements of A commute with those of G , addition and multiplication are defined in $A[G]$ in the obvious way, making $A[G]$ a ring; A is a subring of $A[G]$ under the identification $a = a \cdot 1$. Consider the function $\varepsilon : A[G] \rightarrow A$ defined by $\varepsilon(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g$. This function is called the *augmentation map*, ε is a ring homomorphism that maps $A[G]$ onto A . $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon) = \{\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in A[G] \mid \varepsilon(\alpha) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g = 0\}$. $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ is non-empty and non-trivial. $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ is an ideal called the *augmentation (fundamental) ideal* of $A[G]$ and is denoted by $\Delta(G)$. The ideal $\Delta(G)$ consists of the elements of the form $a_1(1 - g_1) + \cdots + a_k(1 - g_k)$ with each $a_i \in A$, each $g_i \in G$, and k a positive integer. From the above it is clear that $A[G]/\Delta(G) \cong$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S34; Secondary 16N60.

Key words and phrases. Nilary, Prime, Group Ring.

A. Let H be a normal subgroup of G . Then the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow G/H$ mapping g to gH induces a ring homomorphism $\varepsilon_H : A[G] \rightarrow A[G/H]$. $\varepsilon_H(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g gH \in A[G/H]$. Also $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_H) = \Delta(G, H)$ for the kernel of this homomorphism. The ideal $\Delta(G, H)$ consists of the elements of the form $a_1 g_1(1 - h_1) + \cdots + a_k g_k(1 - h_k)$ with each $a_i \in A$, each $g_i \in G$, $h_i \in H$, and k a positive integer. $A[G]\Delta(H)$ is the kernel of ε_H (i.e., $\Delta(G, H) = A[G]\Delta(H)$). In particular, if $H = G$, then $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_G$, and we write $\Delta(G) = \Delta(G, G)$. For a nonempty subset I of A , we have I is a right ideal of A if and only if $I[G]$ ($IA[G] = I[G]$) is a right ideal of $A[G]$; if I is an ideal then $I[G]$ is an ideal and $A[G]/I[G] \cong (A/I)[G]$.

We use $\nu(G)$ denote the set of orders of all finite normal subgroups; $Z(G)$ to denote the center of a group G ; $\rho(G)$ be the set of $g \in G$ which have only a finite number of conjugates; $\sigma(G)$ denote the set of $g \in \rho(G)$ of finite order; groups with $\sigma(G) = G$ are called *locally normal*, an equivalent definition being every finite subset is contained in a finite normal subgroup; C_n for the cyclic group of order n ($n \geq 1$); the subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ is called the *cyclic subgroup* of G generated by g ; a group G is called a *p-group* if the order of each element of G is a power of p ; $|G|$ to denote the order of G ; the order of an element g is denoted by $o(g)$; G is called a *torsion group* if, for every $g \in G$ there exists a non-zero $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $o(g) = n$; G to be *prime* if it satisfies either one of the following two conditions: (i) $\sigma(G) = 1$, (ii) $\nu(G) = \{1\}$, i.e., G contains no finite normal subgroup except 1; G is called a *Dedekind group* if for every subgroup of G is normal. These results may be found in [?, ?, ?]

We use $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ($n > 1$) denote that the set of positive integers, the ring of integers, and the ring of integers modulo n , respectively; $I \trianglelefteq A$ mean that I is an ideal (a two-sided); $I \trianglelefteq^{ess} A$ denote that I is an essential ideal of A , that is, $I \cap J \neq 0$ for any $0 \neq J \trianglelefteq A$; for a subset X of a ring A , we use $\langle X \rangle$ denote the ideal of A generated by X . Also we use $P(A)$, $J(A)$, $\gcd(a, b)$, and $\text{char}(A)$, be the prime radical, the Jacobson radical ideal of a ring A , the greatest common divisor of $a, b \in A$, and the characteristic of A , respectively. The *left (right) annihilator* of the subset X of the ring A is denoted by $\ell_A(X) = \{a \in A \mid aX = 0\}$ ($r_A(X) = \{a \in A \mid Xa = 0\}$).

In [?], it was shown that, $A[G]$ is a prime ring if and only if A is a prime ring and G contains no finite normal non-trivial subgroup, and if J is a prime ideal of $A[G]$, then $J \cap A$ is a prime ideal of A , various results similar to those are proved there. Here, our main goal is to find the necessary and sufficient conditions on a group G and a ring A , so that the group ring $A[G]$ is a (principally) nilary ring.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1.1. [?, Definition 1.1] Let A be a ring and $I \trianglelefteq A$.

- (i) The ideal I is called a (*principally*) *right primary ideal* if whenever J and K are (principal) ideals of A with $JK \subseteq I$, then either $J \subseteq I$ or $K^n \subseteq I$ for some positive integer n depending on J and K .
- (ii) The ideal I is called a (*principally*) *nilary ideal* if whenever J and K are (principal) ideals of A with $JK \subseteq I$, then either $J^m \subseteq I$ or $K^n \subseteq I$ for some positive integers m and n depending on J and K .
- (iii) A is said to be a (*principally*) *right primary ring* or (*principal*) *nilary ring* if the zero ideal is a (principal) right primary or a (principal) nilary ideal of A , respectively.

- (iv) Let $\sqrt{I} = \sum \{V \trianglelefteq A \mid V^n \subseteq I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$ and $\sqrt{I}$ is called the *pseudo radical* of I .

We use “p-” as an abbreviation for principally. In parts (i) and (iii), above, the left-sided version is defined analogously. Let $\sqrt{0_A}$, and $\sqrt{0_{A[G]}}$ denote the pseudo radical (The Wedderburn radical) of A , and $A[G]$, respectively.

Lemma 1.2. [?, Proposition 1.6(iii) and (iv)] *Let I be an ideal of A .*

- (i) *If A/I is a nilary ring and I is a nilpotent ideal, then A is a nilary ring.*
- (ii) *If A/I is a p-nilary ring and $\sqrt{I}$ is a sum of nilpotent ideals, then A is a p-nilary ring.*

Proposition 1.3. [?, Proposition 1.6(ii) and 1.5] *Let A be a ring and $I \trianglelefteq A$. Then I is a (p-)nilary ideal if and only if A/I is a (p-)nilary ring.*

Proof. Suppose A/I is a nilary ring. Let $J, L \trianglelefteq A$, with $JL \subseteq I$. Therefore $(J + I)(L + I) \subseteq I$, so $[(J + I)/I][(L + I)/I] = 0$. Hence either $[(J + I)/I]^n = 0$ for some positive integer n or $[(L + I)/I]^m = 0$ for some positive integer m . Then either $J^n \subseteq I$ or $L^m \subseteq I$. Hence I is a nilary ideal of A .

Conversely, if I is a nilary ideal of A , then A/I is a nilary ring, by [?, Proposition 1.5]. In case p-nilary is similar. $\square$

Lemma 1.4. [?, Proposition 1.3] *Let A be a ring and $I \trianglelefteq A$. Then we have the following.*

- (i) *I is a prime ideal if and only if I is a semiprime (p-)nilary ideal.*
- (ii) *I is p-nilary if and only if whenever V and W are finitely generated ideals of A with $VW \subseteq I$, then $V^m \subseteq I$ or $W^n \subseteq I$ for some positive integers m and n .*

Lemma 1.5. [?, p. 655] *Let H be a subgroup of G with $H \subseteq Z(G)$, and let $J, I \trianglelefteq A[H]$. Then $IJA[G] = (IA[G])(JA[G])$ (where [?, p. 655] use the following expression If $H \subseteq Z(G)$, then ω_H preserves products).*

Lemma 1.6. *Let A be a ring and G be a group. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\sqrt{0_{A[G]}} = \Delta(G)$;
- (ii) $P(A[G]) = \sqrt{0_{A[G]}} = \Delta(G)$;
- (iii) G is a locally normal p-group, A is semiprime, and $p = 0$ in A .

Proof. See [?, p. 682, Theorem 10]. $\square$

Lemma 1.7. ([?, Lemma 3.4.3] and [?, p. 651, Proposition 1]) *Let H be a subgroup of a group G and let A be a ring. Then $\ell_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H)) \neq 0$ if and only if H is finite. In this case, we have*

$$\ell_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H)) = A[G]\hat{H}.$$

Furthermore, if H is normal of G , then the element $\hat{H}$ (note that $\hat{H} = \sum_{h \in H} h$) is central in $A[G]$ and we have

$$\ell_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H)) = r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H)) = \hat{H}A[G].$$

Lemma 1.8. [?, p. 656, Proposition 4(ii)] *The left and right annihilator ideals of $\Delta(G)$ coincide and are given by*

$$(\Delta(G))^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } G \text{ is infinite,} \\ A \sum_{g \in G} g & \text{if } G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}. \end{cases}$$

In the latter case

$$\Delta(G) \cap (\Delta(G))^* = \{a \sum_{g \in G} g \mid a \in A, na = 0\}.$$

Lemma 1.9. [?, p. 681, Theorem 9] *$\Delta(G)$ is nilpotent if and only if*

- (i) *G is a finite p -group, and*
- (ii) *p is nilpotent in A .*

Lemma 1.10. [?, p. 213 (6)] *Let A be a ring and G be a group with $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then: H is a finite p -subgroup and p is nilpotent in A , iff $\Delta(G, H)$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Since

$$\Delta(G, H) = A[G]\Delta(H) = \Delta(H)A[G],$$

we have $\Delta(G, H))^n = (A[G]\Delta(H))^n = A[G](\Delta(H))^n$. The result follows from the Lemma 1.9.

($\Leftarrow$) Since $\Delta(H) \subseteq \Delta(G, H)$. If $\Delta(G, H)$ is nilpotent, then $\Delta(H)$ is nilpotent. By Lemma 1.9, then H is a finite p -subgroup and p is nilpotent in A . $\square$

Lemma 1.11. *Let A be a ring and G be a group. Then: $A[G]$ is prime if and only if A is prime and G is prime.*

Proof. See [?, p. 675, Theorem 8]. $\square$

Lemma 1.12. *Let A be a ring and G be a group. If G is prime, then $A[G]$ is semiprime if and only if A is semiprime.*

Proof. See [?, p. 676]. $\square$

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

This section is devoted to obtain several results related to nilary group rings. The results of Connell, in [?], which related with prime rings are generalized to the class of nilary rings. We will start with finding the necessary conditions on A and G .

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a ring and H be a subgroup of G with $H \subseteq Z(G)$.*

If J is a nilary ideal of $A[G]$, then $J \cap A[H]$ is a nilary ideal of $A[H]$.

Proof. Let $R' = A[H]$ be a subring of $R = A[G]$. Assume that $I, K \trianglelefteq R'$ with $IK \subseteq J \cap R'$.

Now, we have that

$$(IK)R \subseteq (J \cap R')R \subseteq (J)R = J.$$

Since $H \subseteq Z(G)$, by using Lemma 1.5, we get $(IK)R = (IR)(KR)$. i.e

$$(IR)(KR) \subseteq J.$$

Hence

$$(IR)^n \subseteq J \text{ or } (KR)^m \subseteq J \text{ for some } n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

because J is a nilary ideal. Assume that $(IR)^n \subseteq J$. Since R is a unitary ring, then we have

$$I^n \subseteq (IR)^n \subseteq J.$$

Therefore $I^n \subseteq J \cap R'$ because $I^n \subseteq R'$. if $(KR)^m \subseteq J$ then $K^m \subseteq J \cap R'$. Therefore $J \cap R'$ is a nilary ideal of R' . $\square$

Notice that if I is a (principal) finitely generated ideal of $A[H]$ then $IA[G]$ is a (principal) finitely generated ideal of $A[G]$. This fact will help us to transfer the last result to the class of p -nilary rings.

Corollary 2.2. *Let A be a ring and H be a subgroup of G such that $H \subseteq Z(G)$. If J is a p -nilary ideal of $A[G]$, then $J \cap A[H]$ is a p -nilary ideal of $A[H]$.*

Proof. By using Theorem 2.1, and [?, Proposition 1.3(iii)]. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let A be a ring and H be a subgroup of G such that $H \subseteq Z(G)$.*

- (i) *If $A[G]$ is a nilary ring, then $A[H]$ is a nilary ring.*
- (ii) *If $A[G]$ is a p -nilary ring, then $A[H]$ is a p -nilary ring.*

Proof. Putting $J = \{0\}$ in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let A be a ring and G be a group. If $A[G]$ is $(p-)$ nilary then $A[Z(G)]$ is a $(p-)$ nilary ring.*

Corollary 2.5. *Let A be a ring and G be a group.*

- (i) *If J is a nilary ideal of $A[G]$. Then $J \cap A$ is a nilary ideal of A .*
- (ii) *If J is a p -nilary ideal of $A[G]$. Then $J \cap A$ is a p -nilary ideal of A .*

Proof. Putting $H = \{1\}$ in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *Let A be a ring and let G be a group.*

- (i) *If $A[G]$ is a nilary ring, then A is a nilary ring.*
- (ii) *If $A[G]$ is a p -nilary ring, then A is a p -nilary ring.*

Proof. Putting $J = \{0\}$ in Corollary 2.5. $\square$

Corollary 2.7. *Let A be a ring and let G be a group.*

$\Delta(G, H)$ is a $(p-)$ nilary ideal iff $A[G/H]$ is a $(p-)$ nilary ring.

Proof. Since $A[G/H] \cong A[G]/\Delta(G, H)$ for any normal subgroup H of G and by Proposition 1.3. $\square$

Corollary 2.8. *Let A be a ring and G be a group. Then A is a $(p-)$ nilary ring if and only if $\Delta(G)$ is a $(p-)$ nilary ideal.*

Proof. Put $H = G$ in Corollary 2.7. $\square$

Theorem 2.9. *Let A be a ring and G be a group.*

If $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary, then either G is prime or for each the order of finite nontrivial normal subgroup of G is nilpotent in A .

Proof. Assume that G is not prime, thus there is a finite normal subgroup H of G . Now, we have that $\Delta(G, H)$ is a finitely generated ideal of $A[G]$, and $0 \neq r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H))$ is a principal ideal of $A[G]$ generated by $\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i$, with $|H| = n$. Now, we have

$$(\Delta(G, H)) r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H)) = 0.$$

Since $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary, then either $\Delta(G, H)$ or $r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H))$ is nilpotent, so either $(\Delta(G, H))^k = 0$ or $(r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H)))^m = 0$ for some $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 1.4(ii).

In case $(\Delta(G, H))^k = 0$. Since $\Delta(H) \subseteq \Delta(G, H)$ then $(\Delta(H))^k = 0$, hence n is nilpotent in A , by Lemma 1.9. Since n was arbitrary, this implies it is nilpotent in A for each $1 \neq n \in \nu(G)$.

In case $(r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H)))^m = 0$, and since

$$x = \hat{H} \in r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H))$$

then x is nilpotent, this implies $x^m = 0$, also

$$x^2 = \hat{H}x = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i x = nx \quad (\text{because } h_i \text{'s are different, } h_i x = x, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

and hence

$$x^3 = (x^2)x = (nx)x = n(x^2) = n(nx) = (n \cdot n)x = (n^2)x = n^2x.$$

Therefore

$$x^m = n^{m-1}x,$$

since $x^m = 0$, then $n^{m-1}x = 0$, and since $x = \hat{H} = h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + \dots + h_n$ then $n^{m-1}\hat{H} = n^{m-1}(h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + \dots + h_n) = 0$. Since H is linearly independent over A , and $h_1 = 1$, then $n^{m-1} \cdot h_1 = n^{m-1} \cdot 1 = 0$ this implies $n^{m-1} \cdot 1 = 0$, this implies that $n^{m-1} = 0$ in A , hence n is nilpotent in A . Since n was arbitrary, this implies that for each the order finite nontrivial normal subgroup of G is nilpotent in A . $\square$

The next example shows that the conclusion of the last theorem not true for the orders of finite subgroups.

Example 2.10. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}$ and $G = S_\infty$ (the infinite symmetric group S_∞ .) Notice that A is prime and G is prime, hence $A[G]$ is a prime ring, therefore $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary. But it has a big load of finite subgroups and it is clear that we have no nilpotents in A .

Theorem 2.11. *Let A be a ring with $\text{char}(A) = 0$, and G be a group.*
If $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary, then G is prime (i.e., $\nu(G) = 1$).

Proof. Assume that G is not prime that is $\sigma(G) \neq 1$ so there is a nontrivial finite normal subgroup H of G . We have

$$(\Delta(G, H)) r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H)) = 0.$$

Since $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary, then either $\Delta(G, H)$ or $r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, H))$ is nilpotent. So $|H|$ is nilpotent in A . But $|H| \neq 0$, and $\text{char}(A) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\sigma(G) = 1$. Therefore G is prime. $\square$

Corollary 2.12. *Let A be a ring and G be a finite group. If $A[G]$ is $(p-)$ nilary then A is $(p-)$ nilary and $|G|$ is nilpotent in A .*

Proof. Put $n = |G|$ in Theorem 2.9. Also see Corollary 2.6. $\square$

Example 2.13. Let $G = C_6$, and $A = \mathbb{Z}_3$, notice that A is prime, and nilary, and $|G| = 6$ which is nilpotent in A . Now we find that $A[G]$ is not nilary, indeed, consider $g = x^3 \in C_6 = \langle x \rangle$. Thus $o(g) = 2$ is a unit in $A = \mathbb{Z}_3$. Then $e = 2(1 + g)$ is idempotent, and $(1 - e)$ is also. Now,

$$A[G](1 - e) \cdot A[G]e = 0.$$

But $A[G](1 - e)$ is not nilpotent, and $A[G]e$ is not nilpotent. Hence $A[G]$ is not nilary.

Now we move to the next step in our work, we will give some sufficient conditions on A and G so that $A[G]$ is $(p-)$ nilary ring.

Proposition 2.14. *Let A be a ring and G be a group. If A is a p -nilary ring and $\sqrt{\Delta(G)}$ is a sum of nilpotent ideals, then $A[G]$ is a p -nilary ring.*

Proof. Since $A[G]/\Delta(G) \cong A$, and A is a p -nilary, by Lemma 1.2(ii), then $A[G]$ is a p -nilary ring. $\square$

Theorem 2.15. *Let A be a ring with $P(A) = 0$, and G be a locally normal group (i.e., $\sigma(G) = G$). If A is a p -nilary ring, G is a p -group, and p is nilpotent in A (in fact $p = 0$ in A), then $A[G]$ is a p -nilary ring.*

Proof. Since $P(A) = 0$, G is a locally normal p -group, and p is nilpotent in A , then $\sqrt{0_{A[G]}} = P(A[G]) = \Delta(G)$, by Lemma 1.6. Also, $\Delta(G)$ is a semiprime ideal, because $P(A[G]) = \Delta(G)$, thus $\sqrt{\Delta(G)} = \Delta(G)$. Hence

$$\sqrt{\Delta(G)} = \Delta(G) = \sqrt{0_{A[G]}}.$$

Now, since A is a p -nilary ring and $\sqrt{\Delta(G)}$ is a sum of nilpotent ideals, then $A[G]$ is a p -nilary ring, by Proposition 2.14. $\square$

Theorem 2.16. *Let A be a ring and G be a finite group. If A is a nilary ring, G is a p -group, and p is nilpotent in A , then $A[G]$ is a nilary ring.*

Proof. Since G is a finite p -group and p is nilpotent in A , by using Lemma 1.9, we get that $\Delta(G)$ is nilpotent. Since A is a nilary ring and $A \cong A[G]/\Delta(G)$, by using Lemma 1.2(i), we conclude that $A[G]$ is a nilary ring. $\square$

Corollary 2.17. *If A is a prime ring, $\sigma(G) = G$ is a p -group, and $p = 0$ in A , then $A[G]$ is a p -nilary ring.*

Proof. See Theorem 2.15 and Lemma 1.4(i). $\square$

Proposition 2.18. *Let A be a ring and G be a torsion Dedekind group. If $A[G]$ is $(p-)$ nilary then G is a p -group.*

Proof. Since G is torsion, then all $g \in G$ have finite order. Assume that G is not a p -group. Hence there exist $g, h \in G$ with order $p, q \in \nu(G)$ and $p \neq q$. Since $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary then p and q are nilpotent in A by Theorem 2.9. Hence $p, q \in J(A)$. But $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, this implies that $1 \in J(A)$ (since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, implies that $1 = pt + qs$ for some $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$), a contradiction. So G is a p -group. $\square$

Proposition 2.19. *Let F be a field, $\text{char}(F) = 0$ and let G be a group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) G is a prime group;
- (ii) $F[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring;
- (iii) $F[G]$ is a prime ring.

Proof. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Clearly.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) If G is not prime it contains a non-trivial finite normal subgroup H . Since $F[G]$ is (p-)nilary, and by Theorem 2.9 then $|H|$ is nilpotent in F , but $\text{char}(F) = 0$, a contradicting. So G is prime.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Since F is a field then F is prime, and since G is prime, then $F[G]$ is a prime ring, by Lemma 1.11. And hence $F[G]$ is (p-)nilary. $\square$

Proposition 2.20. *Let F be a field, $\text{char}(F) = p$ and let G be a group.*

- (i) *If G is finite p -group, then $F[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring.*
- (ii) *If G is locally normal p -group, then $F[G]$ is a p -nilary ring.*

Proof. (i) Because F is a field and hence prime, so it is a (p-)nilary ring, also $\text{char}(F) = p$, and G be a finite p -group; i.e $|G| = p^k$ for some positive integer k , then $|G| = 0$ in the field F , by using Theorem 2.16, we find that $F[G]$ is a nilary ring.

(ii) Similarly, see Corollary 2.17. $\square$

Corollary 2.21. *Let A be a semiprime ring, G be a group, and $\text{char}(A) = 0$. Then $A[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring iff $A[G]$ is a prime ring.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) From Theorem 2.11, then G is prime. By Lemma 1.12, $P(A) = 0$ iff $P(A[G]) = 0$. Since $A[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring. Then A is a (p-)nilary ring, but $P(A) = 0$. Hence A is prime, by Lemma 1.4(i). Hence $A[G]$ is prime, by Lemma 1.11.

($\Leftarrow$) Clearly. $\square$

Proposition 2.22. *Let A be a semiprime ring and G be a prime group. Then $A[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring iff $A[G]$ is a prime ring.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Since $A[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring then A is a (p-)nilary ring by Corollary 2.6. But A be a semiprime ring, then A is a prime ring by Lemma 1.4(i). Since G be a prime group, then $A[G]$ is a prime ring, by Lemma 1.11.

($\Leftarrow$) Clearly. $\square$

Remark 2.23. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and p is a prime number.

- (i) If $n = 1$ then A is prime and hence (p-)nilary.
- (ii) If $n > 1$ then A is (p-)nilary, but it is not prime.
- (iii) If $n \geq 1$ then A is (p-)nilary.

When $A[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring and G is a prime group, then $A[G]$ is a prime ring ? The following example provides a negative answer.

Example 2.24. $A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, and $n > 1$. Assume that G is prime. If $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary then it is not necessary prime.

Proof. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, and $n > 1$. Put $I = p\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} = \langle p \rangle \trianglelefteq A$. Put $B = A/I$, and since $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ is prime, because

$$B = A/I = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}/p\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})/p(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})/(p\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Thus B is prime. Since

$$B[G] = (A/I)[G] \cong A[G]/(I)[G],$$

by [?, p. 654, (9)]. Since B is prime and G is prime then $B[G]$ is prime, by Lemma 1.11. Since

$$B[G] \cong A[G]/(I)[G].$$

Then $B[G] \cong A[G]/(I)[G]$ is prime, thus $A[G]/(I)[G]$ is prime. Hence $A[G]/(I)[G]$ is (p-)nilary, and since $(I)[G]$ is nilpotent (if I is nilpotent then $(I)[G]$ is nilpotent, by [?, p. 654, Proposition 2]), then $A[G]$ is (p-)nilary, by Lemma 1.2(i).

Since $n > 1$, then A is not prime, by Remark 2.23(ii). Hence $A[G]$ is not prime, by Lemma 1.11. $\square$

Proposition 2.25. *Let $A[G]$ be a nilary ring.*

If $P(A) = 0$ then either $\Delta(G) \trianglelefteq^{ess} A[G]$ or G is a finite p -group.

Proof. Since $P(A) = 0$ then $P(A[G]) \subseteq \Delta(G)$ then $\sqrt{0_{A[G]}} \subseteq \Delta(G)$. By [?, Proposition 1.4(v)], then either $\Delta(G) \trianglelefteq^{ess} A[G]$ or $\Delta(G)$ is nilpotent. Thus either $\Delta(G) \trianglelefteq^{ess} A[G]$ or G is a finite p -group. $\square$

Corollary 2.26. *Let $A[G]$ be a p -nilary ring.*

If $P(A) = 0$, then either $\Delta(G) \trianglelefteq^{ess} A[G]$ or $\Delta(G)$ is a sum of nilpotent ideals of $A[G]$.

Corollary 2.27. *Let $A[G]$ be a p -nilary ring.*

If $P(A) = 0$, then either $\Delta(G) \trianglelefteq^{ess} A[G]$ or G is a locally normal p -group.

Question 2.28. Let A be a ring and G be a group. Then:

If A is a (p-)nilary ring and G is a prime group, then $A[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring.

Question 2.29. Let A be a ring and G be a group. Then:

If A is a (p-)nilary ring and for any nontrivial finite normal subgroup H of G with $|H|$ is nilpotent in A , then $A[G]$ is a (p-)nilary ring.

Conjecture 2.30. Let F be a field $\text{char}(F) > 0$ and G be a group. Then:

If for any nontrivial finite normal subgroup H of G with $|H| = 0$ in F , then $F[G]$ is a p -nilary ring.

Proposition 2.31. *Let A be a ring and G be a finite group. Then:*

If $A[G]$ is a right or left primary ring, then G is a p -group and p is nilpotent in A .

Proof. Notice that $(\Delta(G))^* = \ell_{A[G]}\Delta(G) = r_{A[G]}\Delta(G)$ by Lemma 1.8. Assume $A[G]$ is a left primary ring, and since $\Delta(G)(\Delta(G))^* = 0$ and $(\Delta(G))^* \neq 0$ (because G is finite), then $\Delta(G)$ is nilpotent, hence G is a p -group and p is nilpotent in A . On other hands, assume $A[G]$ is a right primary ring, and since $(\Delta(G))^* = r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G)) = \ell_{A[G]}(\Delta(G))$ (put $(\Delta(G))^*\Delta(G) = 0$) then G is a p -group and p is nilpotent in A . $\square$

Proposition 2.32. *Let A be a ring and G be a group. If $A[G]$ is a (p) -right or (p) -left primary ring, then: either*
(i) G is a prime group, or
(ii) $\sigma(G)$ is a p -group and p is nilpotent in A .

Proof. (i) If $\sigma(G) = 1$, we are finished. (ii) If $\sigma(G) \neq 1$. Let $1 \neq g \in \sigma(G)$, then $o(g) < \infty$. So we have $H = \langle g \rangle \leq G$. Also, $\overline{H}$ is the normal closure of $\{g\}$ (that is the smallest normal sub group containing g). Then $\overline{H}$ is nontrivial finite normal subgroup H of G . Since $\overline{H}$ is nontrivial finite normal, then $\ell_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, \overline{H})) = r_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, \overline{H})) \neq 0$, by Lemma 1.7. Now, we have

$$\ell_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, \overline{H}))\Delta(G, \overline{H}) = 0.$$

Since $A[G]$ is a right primary ring and $\ell_{A[G]}(\Delta(G, \overline{H})) \neq 0$, then $\Delta(G, \overline{H})$ is nilpotent. Hence $\overline{H}$ is p -subgroup and p is nilpotent in A , by Lemma 1.10. Thus $\sigma(G)$ is a p -group and p is nilpotent in A . $\square$

Theorem 2.33. *Let F be a field, $\text{char}(F) = p$, and G be a finite p -group. Then $F[G]$ is a nilary ring and the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $F[G]$ is a right primary ring;*
- (ii) $F[G]$ is a left primary ring;*
- (iii) $F[G]$ is a nilary ring.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.20, then $F[G]$ is a nilary ring.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Clearly.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Assume that $I, J \trianglelefteq F[G]$ with $IJ = 0$. Since $F[G]$ is a nilary ring, then $I^n = 0$ or $J^m = 0$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $J^m = 0$, we are finished. Assume that $J^m \neq 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $J \subseteq \Delta(G)$ then J is nilpotent (because $\Delta(G)$ is nilpotent). Thus $J \not\subseteq \Delta(G)$. Hence $J + \Delta(G) = F[G]$ (because $F \cong F[G]/\Delta(G)$ is a field, hence $\Delta(G)$ is maximum). So $IJ + I\Delta(G) = IF[G]$ this implies that

$$I\Delta(G) = I$$

(because $IJ = 0$ and $IF[G] = I$). Now,

$$I(\Delta(G))^2 = (I\Delta(G))\Delta(G) = (I)\Delta(G) = I\Delta(G) = I$$

hence

$$I(\Delta(G))^t = I$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\Delta(G)$ is nilpotent, then there is $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Delta(G)^s = 0$. Put $t = s$. Then

$$I = I(\Delta(G))^t = I(0) = 0.$$

Hence $I = 0$. Therefore $F[G]$ is a right primary ring.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Similarly.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Clearly. $\square$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING FAISAL, UNIVERSITY, AL-HASA,
P.O. BOX 380, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: omarmallah@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING FAISAL, UNIVERSITY, AL-HASA,
P.O. BOX 380, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: alnoghashi@hotmail.com